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#### Abstract

About Survey A Student Satisfaction Survey on Teaching Learning Process (2021-2022) was conducted online through Google Forms by the IQAC, PRSU, Raipur. Students from the various Schools of Studies/ Institutes, Centers of the university participated in the survey. A total 747 responses were received, out of which $96.5 \%$ responses were the first and only time participation. Out of total participants, $59 \%$ were females and $41 \%$ were males. $23.4 \%$ respondents belonged to graduation courses, $70.3 \%$ to post graduation, and the rest to PG Diploma, Diploma, Certificate, and Ph.D. courses. Subject area of the respondents was as follows: $11.6 \%$ were from Arts \& Social Science, $59.3 \%$ from Science, $14.9 \%$ from Professional, and 14.2 \% from other subjects.

That online feedback form consists of 20 questions with five options on a 0-4 Likert scale. The questions are given below in a table.


| Sl No. | Question |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? |
| 2. | How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? |
| 3. | How well were the teachers able to communicate? |
| 4. | The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as |
| 5. | Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. |
| 6. | Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? |
| 7. | The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit <br> opportunities for students. |
| 8. | The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social <br> and emotional growth. |
| 9. | The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. |
| 10. | Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme <br> outcomes. |
| 11. | Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. |
| 12. | The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. |
| 13. | The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of <br> challenges. |
| 14. | Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. |
| 15. | The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous <br> quality improvement of the teaching learning process. |
| 16. | The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, <br> participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning <br> experiences. |
| 17. | Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. |
| 18. | Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and <br> employability skills to make you ready for the world of work. |
| 19. | What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while <br> teaching. |
| 20. | The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. |
|  |  |

Question no. 21 was qualitative that provided an opportunity to students to give their suggestions for the improvement of teaching-learning process. The details of feedback analysis are as follow:

Question No. 1: How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $0-($ Below 30\%) | 38 | 5.1 |
| $1-(30 \%$ to $54 \%)$ | 122 | 16.3 |
| $2-(55 \%$ to $69 \%)$ | 124 | 16.6 |
| $3-(70 \%$ to $84 \%)$ | 203 | 27.2 |
| $4-(85 \%$ to $100 \%)$ | 260 | 34.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ■ 0-(\text { Below } 30 \%) \quad 1-(30 \% \text { to } 54 \%) \quad 2-(55 \% \text { to } 69 \%) \\
& ■ 3-(70 \% \text { to } 84 \%) ■ 4-(85 \% \text { to } 100 \%)
\end{aligned}
$$



According to responses of $62.0 \%$ students, $70 \%$ and more of their syllabus was covered in the class.

Question No. 2: How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 - Won't teach at all | 3 | 0.4 |
| 1 - Indifferently | 9 | 1.2 |
| 2 Poorly | 54 | 7.2 |
| 3 - Satisfactorily | 431 | 57.7 |
| 4 - Thoroughly | 250 | 33.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


$33.5 \%$ students responded that teachers are thoroughly prepared for the classes, whereas $57.7 \%$ students said that teachers are satisfactorily prepared for the classes.

Question No. 3: How well were the teachers able to communicate?

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 - Very poor communication | 5 | 0.7 |
| 1- Generally ineffective | 8 | 1.1 |
| 2 - Just satisfactorily | 94 | 12.6 |
| 3 - Sometimes effective | 228 | 30.5 |
| 4 Always effective | 412 | 55.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |


| $\square 0$ - Very poor communication | $\square 1$ - Generally ineffective |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\square 2$ - Just satisfactorily | $\boxed{-3}$ - Sometimes effective |
| $\square 4$ - Always effective |  |



Concerning the communication skills of the teachers, $55.2 \%$ students were agreed that teachers are always effective in the classes. Overall $85.7 \%$ students are agreed about the teacher's effective communication in the class.

Question No. 4: The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Poor | 9 | 1.2 |
| - Fair | 34 | 4.6 |
| 2 - Good | 199 | 26.6 |
| 3 - Very good | 265 | 35.5 |
| 4 Excellent | 240 | 32.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

$■ 0$ - Poor $\quad 1$ - Fair $\quad 2$ - Good $\quad 3$ - Very good $\quad 4$ - Excellent


From the above figure, we can say that $35.5 \%$ of students are agreed that their teacher's approach to teaching is very good and that of saying excellent is $32.1 \%$.

Question No. 5: Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Unfair | 6 | 0.8 |
| 1 - Usually unfair | 15 | 2.0 |
| 2 - Sometimes unfair | 76 | 10.2 |
| 3 - Usually fair | 301 | 40.3 |
| - Always fair | 349 | 46.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |



According to $40.3 \%$ and $46.7 \%$ of the students, fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers is 'usually fair' and 'always fair,' respectively.

Question No. 6: Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Never | 11 | 1.5 |
| 1- Rarely | 32 | 4.3 |
| 2 - Occasionally/Sometimes | 109 | 14.6 |
| 3 - Usually | 274 | 36.7 |
| 4 Every time | 321 | 43.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |



About $43.0 \%$ students said that their performances in assignments were discussed with them every time and $36.7 \%$ students claimed that it is usually discussed.

Question No. 7: The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Never | 72 | 9.6 |
| 1- Rarely | 76 | 10.2 |
| 2 - Sometimes | 133 | 17.8 |
| 3 - Often | 186 | 24.9 |
| - Regularly | 280 | 37.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

$\square 0$ - Never $\quad 1$ - Rarely $\square 2$ - Sometimes $\quad 3$ - Often $\quad 4$ - Regularly


Approximately, $37.5 \%$ of the students opined that institute took active interest regularly in promoting internship, student exchange, and field visit opportunities for students, and $24.9 \%$ students claimed it as often.

Question No. 8: The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Not at all | 18 | 2.4 |
| 1- Marginally | 34 | 4.6 |
| 2 - Moderately | 177 | 23.7 |
| 3 - Very well | 321 | 43.0 |
| 4 - Significantly | 197 | 26.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |



About $69.4 \%$ of the students were reported to have benefitted from the teaching and mentoring process in the institution facilitating cognitive, social and emotional growth.

Question No. 9: The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Strongly disagree | 16 | 2.1 |
| 1 - Disagree | 21 | 2.8 |
| 2 - Neutral | 138 | 18.5 |
| 3 - Agree | 338 | 45.2 |
| 4 - Strongly agree | 234 | 31.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

$■ 0$ - Strongly disagree $\square 1$ - Disagree $■ 2$ - Neutral $■ 3$ - Agree $■ 4$ - Strongly agree


From the above figure, it is clear that about $31.3 \%$ of students are strongly agree and in general $76.5 \%$ are agree with the fact that their institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.

Question No. 10: Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0- Never | 12 | 1.6 |
| 1- Rarely | 19 | 2.5 |
| 2- Occasionally/Sometimes | 122 | 16.3 |
| 3- Usually | 263 | 35.2 |
| 4- Every time | 331 | 44.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

$■ 0$ - Never $■ 1$ - Rarely $■ 2$ - Occasionally/Sometimes $■ 3$ - Usually $■ 4$ - Every time


In general, $79.5 \%$ students agreed that the teachers informed them about their expected competencies, courses and program outcomes.

Question No. 11: Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 - I don't have a mentor | 6 | 0.8 |
| 1 Rarely | 25 | 3.3 |
| 2 - Occasionally/Sometimes | 113 | 15.1 |
| 3 - Usually | 284 | 38.0 |
| - Every time | 319 | 42.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


| - 0 - I don't have a mentor | -1-Rarely |
| :---: | :---: |
| - 2 - Occasionally/Sometimes | ■ 3 - Usually |
| $\square 4$ - Every time |  |



About $42.7 \%$ students agreed that a necessary follow-up with an assigned task were done every time by the mentors. Thirty eight percent were agreed that usually it is done.

Question No. 12: The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Never | 4 | 0.5 |
| 1- Rarely | 21 | 2.8 |
| 2 - Occasionally/Sometimes | 73 | 9.8 |
| 3 - Usually | 271 | 36.3 |
| 4 - Every time | 378 | 50.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

$■ 0$ - Never $■ 1$ - Rarely $■ 2$ - Occasionally/Sometimes $■ 3$ - Usually $■ 4$ - Every time

$86.9 \%$ students believed that the teachers illustrated the concepts through examples and applications.

Question No. 13: The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Unable to | 18 | 2.4 |
| 1 - Slightly | 45 | 6.0 |
| 2 - Partially | 117 | 15.7 |
| 3 - Reasonably | 257 | 34.4 |
| 4 - Fully | 310 | 41.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

$\square 0$ - Unable to $\square 1$-Slightly $■ 2$-Partially $\square 3$-Reasonably $\square 4$ - Fully


The teachers were also reported to have fully ( $41.5 \%$ ) and reasonably (34.4\%) identified the strengths of students and encouraging them with providing right level of challenges.

Question No. 14: Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Never | 25 | 3.3 |
| 1- Rarely | 61 | 8.2 |
| 2 - Occasionally/Sometimes | 106 | 14.2 |
| 3 - Usually | 276 | 36.9 |
| 4- Every time | 279 | 37.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

$$
■ 0 \text { - Never } ■ 1 \text { - Rarely } \boxed{\square} \text { - Occasionally/Sometimes } ■ 3 \text { - Usually } ■ 4 \text { - Every time }
$$



In $74.2 \%$ cases, including 'usually' and 'every time,' teachers were able to identify the weaknesses of the students and help them in overcoming of the weaknesses.

Question No. 15: The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Strongly disagree | 12 | 1.6 |
| 1- Disagree | 41 | 5.5 |
| 2 - Neutral | 124 | 16.6 |
| 3 - Agree | 354 | 47.4 |
| 4 - Strongly agree | 216 | 28.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


$28.9 \%$ students strongly agreed, while $47.4 \%$ agreed that the institution had been making efforts to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.

Question No. 16: The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Not at all | 7 | 0.9 |
| 1- Very little | 43 | 5.8 |
| 2 - Some what | 105 | 14.1 |
| 3 - Moderate | 343 | 45.9 |
| - To a great extent | 249 | 33.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


| $\square 0-$ Not at all | $\square 1-$ Very little |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square 3-$ Moderate | $\square 4-$ To a great extent |



The institute/ teachers to a great extent (33.3\%) and moderately ( $45.9 \%$ ) used student centric methods, such as, experiential learning, participative learning, and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

Question No. 17: Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Strongly disagree | 14 | 1.9 |
| - Disagree | 20 | 2.7 |
| 2 - Neutral | 126 | 16.9 |
| 3 - Agree | 303 | 40.6 |
| 4 Strongly agree | 284 | 38.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

$■ 0$ - Strongly disagree $\square 1$ - Disagree $■ 2$ - Neutral $■ 3$ - Agree $■ 4$ - Strongly agree


About $40.6 \%$ students are agreed and that of $38.0 \%$ are strongly agreed with the fact that their teachers encourage them to participate in extracurricular activities.

Question No. 18: Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, lifeskills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work?

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Not at all | 16 | 2.1 |
| - Very little | 59 | 7.9 |
| 2 - Some what | 94 | 12.6 |
| 3 - Moderate | 320 | 42.8 |
| 4 - To a great extent | 258 | 34.5 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


| $\square 0-$ Not at all | $\square 1-$ Very little |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square 3-$ Moderate | $\square 4-$ To a great extent |


$34.5 \%$ students agreed to a great extent and $42.8 \%$ moderately that efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make students ready for their professional field.

Question No. 19: What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching?

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $0-$ Below $29 \%$ | 60 | 8.0 |
| $1-30-49 \%$ | 47 | 6.3 |
| $2-50-69 \%$ | 107 | 14.3 |
| $3-70-89 \%$ | 217 | 29.0 |
| $4-$ Above $90 \%$ | 316 | 42.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |


| $\square 0-$ Below $29 \%$ | $\square 1-30-49 \%$ | $\square 2-50-69 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square 3-70-89 \%$ | $\square 4-$ Above $90 \%$ |  |



Forty two point three percent students responded that more than $90 \%$ teachers use ICT tools, such as LCD projectors, multimedia, online teaching apps etc. while teaching, whereas $29.0 \%$ students reported that 70-89\% teachers use ICT tools while teaching.

Question No. 20: The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good

| Rating - (Range of Percentage) | Number of Respondents | Percentage of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 - Strongly disagree | 8 | 1.1 |
| 1 - Disagree | 24 | 3.2 |
| 2 - Neutral | 126 | 16.9 |
| 3 - Agree | 343 | 45.9 |
| 4 -Strongly agree | 246 | 32.9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

$$
■ 0 \text { - Strongly disagree } \square 1 \text { - Disagree } ■ 2 \text { - Neutral } ■ 3 \text { - Agree } ■ 4 \text { - Strongly agree }
$$



In a nutshell, $32.9 \%$ of the students strongly agreed and $45.9 \%$ agreed that the overall quality of teaching-learning process in the university is very good.

